## Midterm Exam Answers: Economics 101

May 8, 1997 © David K. Levine

## 1. Short Answers

a)

|  | L | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| U | $2^{*}, 3^{*}$ (efficient) | 0,0 |
| D | 0,0 | $1^{*}, 2^{*}$ |

b)

|  | L | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| U | 4,3 | $1,4^{*}$ |
| D | $5^{*}, 0$ | $2^{*}, 1^{*}$ (not efficient) |

For each of the extensive form games below, find all of the subgame perfect equilibria
c)

equilibrium $(3,2)$ is efficient
d)

equilibrium of 1,0 is not efficient

## 2. Duopoly

Let Macrosoft be firm 1, and Peach firm 2.
a) profits for Macrosoft $\pi_{1}=\left(16-x_{1}-x_{2}\right) x_{1}$, reaction function for Macrosoft from $16-2 x_{1}-x_{2}=0$ is $x_{1}=8-x_{2} / 2$.

Profits for Peach $\pi_{2}=\left(14-x_{1}-x_{2}\right) x_{2}$, reaction function for Peach from $14-x_{1}-2 x_{2}=0$ is $x_{2}=7-x_{1} / 2$

Solving the two reaction schedules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7-x_{1} / 2=16-2 x_{1} \\
& 3 x_{1} / 2=9, x_{1}=6
\end{aligned}
$$

and solving for $x_{2}=4$, industry output is 10 and price 7
profits are $\pi_{1}=36, \pi_{2}=16$
b) in Bertrand, Macrosoft has the whole market at a price of 4 . Output is 14 , and Macrosoft profits are 28. Peach produces nothing and has no profits.
c) In Stackelberg with Macrosoft as leader, Macrosoft chooses both $x_{1}, x_{2}$ to maximize profits $\pi_{1}=\left(16-x_{1}-x_{2}\right) x_{1}$ subject to Peach's reaction function $x_{2}=7-x_{1} / 2$ as a constraint. Substitute into profit to find $\pi_{1}=\left(16-x_{1}-\left(7-x_{1} / 2\right)\right) x_{1}=\left(9-x_{1} / 2\right) x_{1}$. Differentiate to find $9-x_{1}=0$. So output by Macrosoft is 9 , output by Peach is $21 / 2$, industry output is $11 \frac{1}{2}$, price is $5 \frac{1}{2}$, Macrosoft profit is 40.5 and Peach ouput is 6.25 .

## 3. Cooperation or Competition?

a)

b)c)

|  | LL | LR | RL | RR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uu | $1,8^{*}$ | $1,8^{*}$ | $1,8^{*}$ | $1,8^{*}$ |
| Ud | $-1,-1$ | $-1,-1$ | $3,3^{*}$ | $3^{*}, 3^{*}$ |
| Du | $5^{*}, 5^{*}$ | 0,0 | $5^{*}, 5^{*}$ | 0,0 |
| Dd | 0,0 | $2^{*}, 2^{*}$ | 0,0 | $2,2^{*}$ |

d) Ud,RR; Du,LL; Du,RL and Dd,LR are the Nash equilibria with corresponding payoffs

3,$3 ; 5,5 ; 5,5 ; 2,2$ e) Subgame perfection requires 2 to play R in the top game, and this means that 1 cannot play Uu . So $\mathrm{Ud}, \mathrm{RR}$ and $\mathrm{Du}, \mathrm{RL}$ are subgame perfect, with corresponding payoffs 3,3 and 5,5.
e) $\mathrm{Du}, \mathrm{LL} ; \mathrm{Du}, \mathrm{RL}$ both Pareto dominate Ud,RR which pareto dominates Dd,LR.
f) RL weakly dominates LL and RR weakly dominates LR

|  | RL | RR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uu | $1,8^{*}$ | $1,8^{*}$ |
| Ud | $3,3^{*}$ | $3^{*}, 3^{*}$ |
| Du | $5^{*}, 5^{*}$ | 0,0 |
| Dd | 0,0 | $2,2^{*}$ |

In the reduced game, Ud weakly dominates Uu and Dd

|  | RL | RR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ud | $3,3^{*}$ | $3^{*}, 3^{*}$ |
| Du | $5^{*}, 5^{*}$ | 0,0 |

In this game, RL weakly dominates RR

|  | RL |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ud | $3,3^{*}$ |
| Du | $5^{*}, 5^{*}$ |

So the unique results of iterated weak dominance is $\mathrm{Du}, \mathrm{RL}$ with a payoff of 5,5

