## Midterm Exam Answers: Economics 101

May 8, 1998 © David K. Levine

## 1. Short Answers

a)

|  | L | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| U | $10^{*}, 5^{*}$ (not efficient) | 11,0 |
| D | 5,3 | $12^{*, 5 * \text { (efficient) }}$ |

b)

|  | L | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| U | 3,1 | $2 *, 9 *$ (efficient) |
| D | $7 *,-1 *$ (efficient) | $1,-3$ |

c)

subgame perfect equilibrium ( $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{d}$ ) is efficient
normal form

|  | U | D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| u | $1^{*}, 0$ | $0,5^{*}$ |
| d | $1^{*}, 0$ | $5^{*}, 4^{*}$ (efficient) |

[^0]d)

subgame perfect equilibrium of $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{u}$ is inefficient
normal form

|  | u | d |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| U | $2^{*},-2^{*}$ | $2,-2^{*}$ |
| D | $1,1^{*}$ | $3^{*}, 0$ |

The Nash equilibrium is the same as the subgame perfect equilibrium.

## 2. Hotelling Duopoly

a) For given prices $p_{1}, p_{2}$ of the two stores, which location is exactly indifferent between the stores? $-p_{1}-x=-p_{2}-(1-x)$ so $x=\left(p_{2}-p_{1}+1\right) / 2$
b) What is the demand for Marty's groceries? $x=\left(p_{2}-p_{1}+1\right) / 2$ For Ginnie's? $1-x=1-\left(p_{2}-p_{1}+1\right) / 2=\left(p_{1}-p_{2}+1\right) / 2$
c) What are the Nash equilibrium prices of the price-setting game?

Marty's profit $\left(p_{1}-2\right)\left(p_{2}-p_{1}+1\right) / 2$ maximized when $\left(p_{2}-p_{1}+1\right) / 2-\left(p_{1}-2\right) / 2=0$ or $p_{2}-2 p_{1}+3=0$

Ginnie's profits $\left(p_{2}-1\right)\left(p_{1}-p_{2}+1\right) / 2$ maximized when $\left(p_{1}-p_{2}+1\right) / 2-\left(p_{2}-1\right) / 2=0$ or $p_{1}-2 p_{2}+2=0$, or $p_{1}=2 p_{2}-2$

Plug in to Marty's FOC and find $p_{2}-2\left(2 p_{2}-2\right)+3=0, p_{2}=7 / 3$. Plug into Ginnie and find $p_{1}=8 / 3$.

## 3. How to get a job?

a) Find the extensive form of this game.

b) Find normal form of this game. Find all Nash equilibria of this game.
c) Which of the Nash equilibria are Pareto Efficient and which are not?

|  | J | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W,S | $-10,0^{*}$ | $-10,0^{*}$ |
| W,M | $20^{*}, 10^{*}$ (efficient) | $-20,0$ |
| L,S | $10,0^{*}$ | $10^{*}, 0^{*}$ |
| L,M | $5,-10$ | $0,0^{*}$ |

d) Apply the theory of iterated weak dominance to this game.

No dominance for player 2
For player 1, L,M and W,S are strictly dominated by L,S
The reduced game is below

|  | J | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W,M | $20^{*}, 10^{*}$ (efficient) | $-20,0$ |
| L,S | $10,0^{*}$ | $10^{*}, 0^{*}$ |

Now J weakly dominates N giving

|  | J |
| :--- | :--- |
| W,M | $20^{*}, 10^{*}$ (efficient) |
| L,S | $10,0^{*}$ |

Finally, W,M strictly dominates L,S, leaving just the efficient Nash equilibrium.


[^0]:    Note that there is only one Nash equilibrium and it is also subgame perfect

